S.37	
------	--

File	With		
	-	 	
İ			
			- 1

SECTION 131 FORM

Having considered the	ne contents of the submis	sion dated/received	>13/15/5
from John How	دسهم ا	recommend that sec	ction 131 of the Pla
and Development Ad	ct, 2000 be/not be invoke	d at this stage for the	e following reason
	no new material	issues	
			MILLIAN CONTRACTOR CON
Section 131 not to be	e invoked at this stage.		
Section 131 to be inv	oked — allow 2/4 weeks	for reply.	
Signed		Date	
Pat Bue		21/12/2	2n2.3
EO EO		2111216	
Signed		Date	
Signed		Date	
		Date	
Signed		Date	
Signed SEO/SAO		Date	
Signed		Date	
Signed SEO/SAO	— Section 131 notice		the attached subn
Signed SEO/SAO	— Section 131 notice	enclosing a copy of	the attached subn
Signed SEO/SAO M Please prepare BP	OXICE	enclosing a copy of	
Signed SEO/SAO M Please prepare BP	OXICE	enclosing a copy of	low 2/3/4 weeks
Signed SEO/SAO M Please prepare BP	OXICE	enclosing a copy of	low 2/3/4 weeks
Signed SEO/SAO M Please prepare BP To	OXICE	enclosing a copy of	low 2/3/4 weeks





Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference NPA-OBS-002940

	etails	
Contact Name John Harmon	Lodgement Date 13/12/2023 22:45:	Case Number / Description 14 314485
Payment Details		
Payment Method Online Payment	Cardholder Name Sarah Maguire	Payment Amount €50.00
Processing Section		
S.131 Consideration Required Yes — See attac	hed 131 Form	N/A — Invalid
Signed Karen Byrni E0	2	15/12/2023
Fee Refund Requisition Please Arrange a Refund of Fe		od gement No
€ Reason for Refund		LDG-068258-23
Reason for Refund Documents Returned to Obse	No	Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva
Reason for Refund Documents Returned to Obse	No	Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva
Reason for Refund Documents Returned to Observes Yes Signed EO Finance Section	No [Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva
Reason for Refund Documents Returned to Obse Yes Signed	No [Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva Yes No Date Checked Against Fee Income Online
Reason for Refund Documents Returned to Observes Yes Signed Finance Section Payment Reference ch_3ON1B0B1CW0EN5	No [Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva
Reason for Refund Documents Returned to Observes Yes Signed Finance Section Payment Reference ch_3ON1B0B1CW0EN5	No [Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva Yes No Date Checked Against Fee Income Online
Reason for Refund Documents Returned to Obset Yes Signed Finance Section Payment Reference ch_3ON1B0B1CW0EN5	No [Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva Yes No Date Checked Against Fee Income Online EO/AA (Accounts Section) Refund Date

7

An Bord Pleanala 64 Marlborough St Rotunda Dublin 1. D01 V902 John Harmon Fleenstown The Ward Co Meath D11 CF61

13/12/2023

An Bord Pleanála Case Reference PL06F.314485

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to make an observation on An Bord Pleanála Case Reference PL06F/314485, the removal of Condition 3(d) and Condition 5 of the planning permission of the North Runway at Dublin Airport. I have lived in Fleenstown Great, which is a quiet rural area, 8-9 kilometers from the North Runway for the past 50 years.

Since the opening of the North Runway, my family and I have been subject to unacceptable noise levels. My house appears to be under a new flightpath for aircraft. There is a continuous level of aircraft noise, which is very disruptive. My family and I now find it difficult to use the garden during the summer, due to excessive noise and noise levels inside the house (particularly during the early morning) are very difficult to deal with.

I would like to make the following observations:

Consultation: My family and I were not aware that we would be overflown by aircraft when the planning permission was granted for the north runway in 2007. All of the information available at the time suggested that we would not be under a flight path or impacted by excessive noise.

Noise Abatement: The noise contours shown in the planning permission and on Fingal County Councils website, appear to indicate that there should be no noise impacts where I live, but this is not the case. There is clearly some error in how noise levels have been assessed.

Mitigation: There does not appear to be any reasonable mitigation measures. Even though my family and I are severely impacted, there does not appear to be any mitigation measures available to us.

Engagement: There has been no meaningful engagement between Dublin Airport Authority and the community I live in since the North Runway opened.

Alternatives: It does not appear as though any alternatives to the current situation have been thoroughly assessed, as I have heard that there may be other flightpaths that could be used.

In summary, the opening of the North Runway has caused considerable stress to me and my family. The situation will get worse if planning Condition 3(d) and Condition 5 are removed.

I observe that at present:

Dublin Airport Authority are already in breech of the 2007 planning application Condition
 3(d) and Condition 5

14-

- The Public Participation requirements of the Arhus convention have not been met in relation to the 2007 planning consent
- The requirements of the Environmental Impact Assessment Directive have not been met for the Environmental Impact Assessment Report submitted as part of the 2007 planning.
 - The baseline was not assessed properly
 - o The modelling of flight paths used as the basis of the EIAR was incorrect
 - o Alternatives do not appear to have been assessed
 - o The mitigations measures are insufficient
 - o The monitoring of noise is incorrect

Yours Sincerely,

John Harmon.

Fleenstown

086 857 5495

0